

THEOREM Suppose v_1, \dots, v_k are linearly independent and w_1, \dots, w_{k+1} lie in $\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$; then w_1, \dots, w_{k+1} are linearly dependent.

Proof. Let \mathbf{A} be the matrix whose columns are the $2k + 1$ vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{k+1}$:

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} | & | & \cdots & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_k & \mathbf{w}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{w}_{k+1} & \\ | & | & \cdots & | & | & | & | & | \end{array} \right).$$

We row-reduce \mathbf{A} to get the row-reduced matrix \mathbf{R} , and we claim that \mathbf{R} looks like:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \overbrace{\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{array}}^{k \text{ columns}} & \begin{array}{ccc} * & \cdots & * \\ * & \cdots & * \\ * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & * \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} k \times k \text{ Identity} & \text{Matrix } \mathbf{J} \\ \hline \text{All Zeros} & \text{All Zeros} \end{array} \right).$$

This follows directly from part 3 above, applied to each of the vectors $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{k+1}$, since each is in $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$. Now we note the key fact: the matrix \mathbf{J} in the upper right corner has $k + 1$ columns (one for each of the \mathbf{w}_i) and only k rows. By part 1 above, we can find a (column) vector \mathbf{C} with entries c_1, \dots, c_{k+1} , such that $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{0}$, and \mathbf{C} is not all zeros. (\mathbf{C} gives a non-trivial dependence among the columns of \mathbf{J} .)

We now form a $k + (k + 1)$ component column vector $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ from \mathbf{C} by adding k 0's to the beginning of \mathbf{C} .

$$\overline{\mathbf{C}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{k+1} \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{k+1} \end{array}} \right\} k \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{k+1} \end{array}} \right\} k + 1 \end{array} \right).$$

We first note that $\mathbf{R} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{0}$. This is because the first k entries of $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ are all zeros, so they multiply the first k column vectors of \mathbf{R} into $\mathbf{0}$. The entries c_1 through c_{k+1} combine the upper, or " \mathbf{J} " part, of the last $k + 1$ columns of \mathbf{R} into $\mathbf{0}$, and the remaining — lower — part of the columns is already zero; thus, $\mathbf{R} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{0}$. However, as already noted above, a matrix \mathbf{A} and the matrix obtained from any row operations, say \mathbf{R} , always have the same solutions. So we conclude that $\mathbf{A} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{0}$ as well. This gives a non-trivial dependence among the columns of \mathbf{A} . But the first k entries of $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ are zero, so this non-trivial dependence doesn't involve the first k columns of \mathbf{A} , which are the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$. Thus $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ gives us a non-trivial dependence relation among the last $k + 1$ column vectors of \mathbf{A} , which are the vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{k+1}$. This completes the proof. ■

COROLLARY Suppose v_1, \dots, v_k are linearly independent and w_1, \dots, w_n are also linearly independent and lie in $\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$; then $n \leq k$.

Proof. If $n > k$ then the Theorem says that the w 's would be dependent. ■

COROLLARY Any two bases for a vector space have the same number of elements.

Proof. If $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ and $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$ are both bases, then each set of vectors is linearly independent and lies in the span of the other. By the previous corollary, $k \leq n$ and $n \leq k$; thus $k = n$. ■